

	5.16.23	324 NW 6th St. Pompano Beach, FL									
TREE #	COMMON NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	HEIGHT (ft)	WIDTH (ft)	DBH (in)	CLEAR TRUNK (ft)	HEALTH CONDITION	HEALTH CONDITION %	RULE 14-10 APPRAISAL (2020) \$	Relocation Candidate (Y/N)	OBSERVATIONS
1	Sabal Palm	<i>Sabal palmetto</i>	20	8	17	16	Good	70%	\$ 192.50	Y	
2	Sabal Palm	<i>Sabal palmetto</i>	18	8	17	14	Good	70%	\$ 192.50	Y	
3	Gumbo Limbo	<i>Bursera simaruba</i>	11	6	4		Good	65%	\$ 262.71	Y	
4	Bottle Palm	<i>Hyophorbe lagenicaulis</i>	8	6	6	5	Good	65%	\$ 600.00	Y	
5	Bottle Palm	<i>Hyophorbe lagenicaulis</i>	10	6	6	5	Good	65%	\$ 600.00	Y	
6	Green Buttonwood	<i>Conocarpus erectus</i>	18	14	8		Fair	47%	\$ 631.56	N	Poor structure, trunk lean, visual evidence of decay, confined root space
7	Gumbo Limbo	<i>Bursera simaruba</i>	17	16	7		Good	65%	\$ 821.53	Y	
8	Royal Poinciana	<i>Delonix regia</i>	17	21	16		Fair	45%	\$ 3,360.02	N	Poor structure, confined root space, trunk engulfed fence
10	Green Buttonwood	<i>Conocarpus erectus</i>	14	7	4		Fair	57%	\$ 306.38	Y	Trunk wound
11	Montgomery Palm	<i>Veitchia arecina</i>	17	6	6	12	Fair	52%	\$ 302.12	N	Nutrient deficiencies
12	Silver Buttonwood	<i>Conocarpus erectus var. sericeus</i>	18	12	8		Fair	48%	\$ 1,116.00	N	Poor structure, visual evidence of decay, unbalanced crown
13	Montgomery Palm	<i>Veitchia arecina</i>	26	7	8	19	Fair	54%	\$ 489.51	N	Nutrient deficiencies
14	Montgomery Palm	<i>Veitchia arecina</i>	16	6	6	14	Fair	54%	\$ 306.18	N	Nutrient deficiencies
14a	Silver Buttonwood	<i>Conocarpus erectus var. sericeus</i>	14	12	5		Fair	54%	\$ 486.00	N	Poor structure, heading cut
14b	Montgomery Palm	<i>Veitchia arecina</i>	17	6	7	13	Fair	52%	\$ 305.76	N	Nutrient deficiencies
15	Sabal Palm	<i>Sabal palmetto</i>	24	8	15	20	Good	70%	\$ 192.50	Y	
16	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	32	24	21		Fair	54%	\$ 2,677.46	N	Poor structure, deadwood, canopy dieback
17	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	26	18	27		Poor	40%	\$ 2,473.30	N	Poor structure, deadwood, canopy dieback, visual evidence of decay, canopy crowding
18	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	35	25	18		Fair	53%	\$ 2,225.97	N	Poor structure, canopy dieback, chlorotic foliage, deadwood
19	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	28	15	14		Fair	52%	\$ 1,728.98	N	Poor structure, deadwood, canopy crowding
20	Sapodilla	<i>Manilkara zapota</i>	39	27	23		Fair	55%	\$ 2,770.63	N	Visual evidence of decay, storm damage, large trunk wound, deadwood
21	Sabal Palm	<i>Sabal palmetto</i>	14	8	8	5	Good	70%	\$ 192.50	Y	Immature palm
22	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	33	24	23		Fair	53%	\$ 2,444.29	N	Poor structure, canopy dieback, storm damage, canopy crowding
23	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	31	26	18		Fair	50%	\$ 2,199.97	N	Poor structure, large diameter deadwood, canopy dieback
24	Mango	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	15	12	8		Poor	30%	\$ 559.99	N	Poor structure, storm damage, visual evidence of decay, canopy crowding
25	Royal Poinciana	<i>Delonix regia</i>	28	18	13		Poor	40%	\$ 226.68	N	Visual evidence of decay, deadwood
*I certify that all statements of fact in this appraisal are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that they are made in good faith.											
Mark C. Williams FL-5221 AM, ISA Certified Arborist Municipal Specialist (Treemendous Consulting Group LLC)											

PZ22-12000032

07/19/2023